

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,471	08/01/2001	N. Edward Berg	BERG99.01CIP	3251
75	590 05/24/2004		EXAM	INER
Norman P Soloway			CULBERT, ROBERTS P	
Hayes Soloway 130 W Cushing	Hennessey Grossman & I	-lage	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Tucson, AZ 8			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ ([\\				
Advisory Action	09/890,471	BERG, N. EDWARI	v.'				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roberts Culbert	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this application and all the properties of the properties application (a) a timel (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	h places the applica	ition in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the linal rejection. REFINAL REJECTION. REFINAL REJECTION. REFINAL REJECTION. REFINAL REJECTION. REFINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal d	eriod set forth in of the appeal.					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ıs.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons ——·	idered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or brould be rejected is provided belo	o)⊡ will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 37 and 38.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,10,12-16,18,20,21,23,25-27</u>	,29,31,34-36,39 and 40.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:	GREGORY A SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CEI	WINNER					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The examiner has indicated that claim 37 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the independent claim 31. However, Claim 37 recites a step of pre-heating the substrate prior to printing whereas applicant has amended Claim 31 to recite a step of simply heating the substrate, which is a much broader limitation not present in the claims prior t applicant's amendment,.